



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
09/938,326	08/23/2001	Frederick W. Ryan JR.	F-268 1636		
919	7590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER		
PITNEY BOWES INC.			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A		
35 WATERVI	EW DRIVE				
P.O. BOX 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-22		3627			
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	10				
4		09/938,326		RYAN					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Joseph A. Fisc	hetti	3627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision	N. 1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory od will apply and will expitute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be timminimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	R August 2001							
2a)□									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consid							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) C	bjected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the				- · ·				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) [] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been re ents have been re riority documents eau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receiven .2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen  1)  Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>л</b> Г	Intendent Surren	(DTO 442)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L	_  Interview Summary _ Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•					
3) 🔲 Infon	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(r No(s)/Mail Date	· -	Notice of Informal Pa		<b>)</b> -152)				

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

species of claims 2,3,4,5, drawn to seller transmitting log of sales; species of claims 8-15 drawn to fraud detection;

species of claims 17-22 drawn to transmitting to a taxing jurisdiction log of sales.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,6,7,16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Primary Examiner Fischetti can be contacted at 703 305 0731.

97